October 28, 2019













According to special relativity, there is no preferred inertial reference frame so the time dilation effect is the same for all observers. Since each observer sees the other as moving past at a constant speed, each observer measures the other's clock as running slowly – the effect is symmetric. But what about this?

Two twins, Ein and Stein, grow up. Ein becomes and astronaut and Stein becomes a physics teacher. One day, Ein says goodbye to his brother and leaves on a space voyage to a distant star. Some time later, when he returns home, he meets his brother again. However, by now his brother is 30 years older than he is. You might think that this is because of relative motion. The clock in the space ship runs more slowly than the clock on the Earth, so Ein has aged less. But what about the symmetry of the time dilation effect? According to astronaut Ein, his ship was at rest while brother Stein and the Earth moved in the other direction. Since Stein's clock is now the moving one, shouldn't his clock run more slowly and Ein return to Earth as the older brother? Whose view of the situation is correct? In fact, shouldn't the brothers still be the same age since there is no preferred inertial frame of reference?



Explanation:

- situation is **not** symmetric since formulas for special relativity are only symmetrical when the two observers are in constant velocity relative motion
- brother on space ship was not in the same inertial frame of reference for the entire trip
- he accelerated (to change FOR)
- brother on ground was not subject to forces or acceleration, did not change FOR, so his view of the situation is correct.



