

Chapter 12

Philosophical Chairs¹¹

Philosophical Chairs is a format for classroom discussion and an activity that can be used in almost any classroom setting. While this activity uses a format similar to debate, it is dialogue that helps students develop the ability to give careful attention to other students' comments and to engage in constructive dialogue with one another.

Like Socratic Seminar, Philosophical Chairs exemplifies the use of AVID's WICR strategies in lesson planning. Inquiry and collaboration are inherent in Philosophical Chairs, and writing and reading are easily incorporated into a plan that results in the integration of the four components of WICR. Additionally, this activity makes a great prewriting activity as it allows students to gain and develop a variety of ideas about a topic.

Philosophical Chairs differs from Socratic Seminar in that it is not dependent on a text, but the reading of some text before engaging in the activity can only enhance the process. Philosophical Chairs focuses on a central statement or topic that is controversial. A list of possible topics is included in this unit, but you should also develop statements that are relevant to both your students' lives and grade levels. Current events make great Philosophical Chairs topics.

Because the basic format for Philosophical Chairs remains the same from grade level to grade level, no explicit differentiations are included here. You will differentiate from grade level to grade level by choosing central statements or topics with increased complexity and by decreasing the level of teacher involvement in the process. In the middle school years, the teacher will almost always provide the topic and facilitate the discussion. By the junior and senior years in high school, students should be responsible for developing the central statement and for facilitating the discussions. Included in this unit are three activity sheets that may be used as part of the Philosophical Chairs activity. They provide varying degrees of structure. For middle level, you may want to provide more structure to the reflection after the activity. For students who have become more practiced at Philosophical Chairs and/or are in high school, you may use the activity sheets that are less structured.

Step-by-step guidelines for Philosophical Chairs and additional ideas for successful implementation of this activity in your classroom follow.

¹¹Risi, R., Schiro, P. Serret-Lopez, C. (2005). Unit Eleven: Philosophical Chairs. *Strategies for Success* (pp. 147–154). San Diego, Ca: AVID Press

Guidelines for Philosophical Chairs

Classroom Setup

Chairs/desks are set up facing each other with about half facing one way and half facing the opposite way.

Directions

1. A statement is presented to the students. This statement might be based on a reading or might be a stand-alone statement. Either way, the statement should be one that will divide the class into those who agree with the statement and those who disagree with the statement. Be sure that the statement is written on the board for reference during the activity. (Note: Allowing for a group of students who are undecided is addressed later in these guidelines.)
2. Those who agree with the central statement sit on one side and those who disagree sit on the other side.
3. A mediator, who will remain neutral and call on sides to speak, is positioned between the two sides. (This role is usually filled by the teacher in the beginning or middle school years. Eventually, students should take on this role.) In addition to facilitating the discussion, the mediator may at times paraphrase the arguments made by each side for clarification. It is important that the mediator always remains neutral.
4. The mediator recognizes someone from the side of the classroom that agrees with the central statement to begin the discussion with an argument in favor of the position stated. Next, the mediator will recognize someone from the other side to respond to the argument. This continues throughout the activity, and part of the job of the mediator is to ensure participation by as many students as possible and to keep just a few students from dominating the discussion. The mediator may also put a time limit on how long each side addresses the issue on each turn.
5. In addition to speaking in the discussion, students may express their opinions by moving from one side to other. Anyone may change seats at any time. Changing seats does not necessarily mean that a person's mind is changed, but rather that the argument made is compelling enough to sway opinions. Students may move back and forth throughout the discussion.
6. The discussion and movement go on for a designated period of time—usually one class period. The mediator may bring the discussion to a close at any time. Each side may be given an opportunity to make a final statement on the issue. If time allows, each participant states his/her final opinion and may also tell which arguments he/she found most convincing.
7. An additional piece to this activity can be to have a few students observe the process and take notes instead of participating. These students will debrief their observations to the class at the end of the activity. You may have students who were absent or unprepared to participate fulfill this role.

Evaluation

Leave time at the end of the period for students to reflect on the activity. Use one of the activities included in this unit. Students may begin the reflection in class and finish it for homework.

Modifications

It is recommended that you begin this activity with just two sides. If students have difficulty choosing a side to begin, encourage them to sit on the side that they agree with the most even if they do not completely agree. Once students are accustomed to this format, you may choose to add this additional component: You may add a third section of seats with a few chairs for students who are undecided. This section is placed between the two opposing sides. During the discussion, you may allow students from the undecided section to participate, or you may require that they take a position before participating. Students may move from the sides that agree or disagree with the statement to the undecided section if they wish. Before you end the discussion, require that all students still seated in the undecided zone move to one side or the other depending on which they believe made the most compelling arguments.