SCIENTIFIC PRACTICES RUBRIC

INITIATING THE INQUIRY

What is the evidence that the student can formulate questions and models that can be explored by scientific investigations as well as articulate a testable hypothesis?

SCORING DOMAIN	EMERGING	E/D	DEVELOPING	D/P	Proficient	P/A	ADVANCED
ASKING QUESTIONS	 Formulates a general scientific question Provides limited or irrelevant content information 		 Formulates a specific scientific question Provides general content information that is related to the question 		 Formulates a specific and empirically testable scientific question Provides specific and relevant content information to lend support for the question 		 Formulates a specific, testable, and challenging scientific question Provides specific and relevant content information to provide insight into the inquiry
STATING A HYPOTHESIS	Articulates a prediction that has limited relationship to the question under investigation		Articulates a relevant prediction of the expected results, but variables are unclearly stated		• Articulates a hypothesis about the investigated question, with a basic and accurate description of the variables ("if then")		• Articulates a hypothesis about the investigated question, with accurate and specific explanation of the relationship between variables ("if then…because")

PLANNING AND CARRYING OUT INVESTIGATIONS

What is the evidence that the student can design and perform investigations to explore natural phenomena?

SCORING DOMAIN	EMERGING	E/D	DEVELOPING	D/P	Proficient	P/A	ADVANCED
DESIGNING THE INVESTIGATION	 Experimental design is not aligned to the testable question Discussion of how the model can guide or inform the design or an aspect of the design is missing 		 Experimental design is related but not explicitly aligned to testable question States in general terms how model was used to guide, inform, or test the design or an aspect of the design 		 Aligns experimental design with testable question Explains how model was used to guide, inform, or test the design, or an aspect of the design 		 Explains the alignment between the experimental design and the testable question Explains how model was used to guide, inform, or test the design, or an aspect of the design
IDENTIFYING VARIABLES	Identifies variables of investigation but confuses dependent and independent variables Makes no connection between the plan and variables		Accurately identifies the relevant independent and dependent variables States how the plan will control relevant independent OR dependent variables		 Accurately identifies and explains why the variables are dependent and independent in the investigation Explains how the plan will control relevant independent AND dependent variables 		 Accurately identifies and explains why the variables are dependent and independent in the investigation and identifies possible confounding variables and effects and tries to control for them Explains how the plan will control relevant independent and dependent variables, and the possible confounding variables or effects
DEVELOPING PROCEDURES	Includes vague or incomplete lab procedures; or uses inappropriate tools, instruments, or types of measurement Amount of data to be collected is omitted		Describes lab procedures including tools/ instruments used, but is not clear or detailed enough to be replicated States the amount of data to be collected with no rationale		 Describes detailed, clear, and replicable lab procedures including tools /instruments and types of measurements gathered Provides a rationale for the appropriate amount of data needed to produce reliable measurements 		Describes detailed, clear, and replicable lab procedures including rationale for using the tools /instruments and types of measurements gathered Provides a rationale for the appropriate amount of data needed to produce reliable measurements
COLLECTING DATA	 Gathers data from a single trial of the experiment Limitations or precision of data are not mentioned 		Gathers data from several repetitions of the experiment that are clearly outside the reasonable range Mentions limitation or precision of data		 Gathers data from several repetitions of the experiment that are not consistent within a reasonable range Explains limitation or precision of data 		 Gathers data from several repetitions of the experiment that are consistent within a reasonable range Explains limitation or precision of data and impact on conclusions

REPRESENTING, ANALYZING, AND INTERPRETING THE DATA

What is the evidence that the student can organize, analyze, and interpret the data?

SCORING DOMAIN	EMERGING	E/D	DEVELOPING	D/P	Proficient	P/A	ADVANCED
REPRESENTING THE DATA Accurately labeled includes title, column titles, description of units, proper intervals.	 Uses spreadsheets, data tables, charts, or graphs but does not accurately summarize and/or display data Constructs spreadsheets, data tables, charts, or graphs with major omissions or errors 		Uses spreadsheets, data tables, charts, or graphs to accurately summarize and display data; format does not allow for examining the relationships between variables Constructs spreadsheets, data tables, charts, or graphs with minor errors (e.g., missing labels)		Uses spreadsheets, data tables, charts, or graphs to accurately summarize and display data to examine relationships between variables Constructs accurately labeled and appropriately organized spreadsheets, data tables, charts, or graphs		 Uses multiple methods (spreadsheets, data tables, charts, graphs) to accurately summarize and display data to examine relationships between variables Constructs accurately labeled and expertly organized spreadsheets, data tables, charts, or graphs
ANALYZING THE DATA	 Analyzes data using inappropriate methods or with major errors or omissions Consistency of outcome with initial hypothesis is not compared 		 Accurately analyzes data using appropriate methods with minor omissions Compares consistency of outcome with initial hypothesis 		 Accurately analyzes data in using appropriate and systematic methods to identify patterns Compares consistency of outcome with initial hypothesis and identifies possible sources of error 		 Accurately analyzes data in using appropriate and systematic methods to identify and explain patterns Compares and explains consistency of outcome with initial hypothesis, and explains possible sources of error and impact of errors
GENERATING INTREPRETA- TIONS	 Inferences drawn from data are absent Makes no mention of variables needing further investigation 		Draws inferences from data without discussing strengths or weaknesses Makes note of variables that need further investigation		 Explains the strengths OR weaknesses of the inferences drawn from data using grade appropriate techniques Suggests relationships or interactions between variables worth further investigation 		 Explains the strengths AND weaknesses of the inferences drawn from data using grade appropriate techniques Suggests relationships or interactions between variables worth further investigation and poses new analysis

CONSTRUCTING EVIDENCE-BASED ARGUMENTS AND COMMUNICATING CONCLUSIONS

What is the evidence that the student can articulate evidence-based explanations and effectively communicate conclusions?

SCORING DOMAIN	Emerging	E/D	DEVELOPING	D/P	Proficient	P/A	ADVANCED
CONSTRUCTING EVIDENCE- BASED ARGUMENTS	Argument is missing or unclear; supporting data or scientific theory are missing Counterclaim (possible weaknesses in scientific arguments or in their own argument) is missing		Constructs a scientific argument and mentions data OR acceptable scientific theory but does not explain how it supports the claim Identifies a counterclaim (possible weaknesses in scientific arguments or in one's own argument) without mentioning evidence		Constructs a scientific argument, explaining how data and acceptable scientific theory support the claim Identifies a counterclaim (possible weaknesses in scientific arguments or in one's own argument) using evidence		Constructs and evaluates a scientific argument explaining how data and acceptable scientific theory support the claim Explains and evaluates a counterclaim (possible strengths and weaknesses in scientific arguments or in one's own argument) using evidence
COMMUNICAT- ING FINDINGS	Attempts to use multiple representations to communicate conclusions with inaccuracies or major inconsistencies with the evidence Implies conclusions with no discussion of limitations		Uses multiple representations (words, tables, diagrams, graphs and/or mathematical expression) to communicate conclusions with minor inconsistentencies with the evidence States conclusions and general discussion of limitations		Uses multiple representations (words, tables, diagrams, graphs, and/or mathematical expressions) to communicate clear conclusions consistent with the evidence Explains conclusions with specific discussion of limitations		Uses multiple representations representations (words, tables, diagrams, graphs, and/or mathematical expressions) to communicate clear and specific conclusions consistent with the evidence Explains conclusions and impact of limitations or unanswered questions
FOLLOWING CONVENTIONS	Uses language and tone inappropriate to the purpose and audience Attempts to follow the norms and conventions of scientific writing with major, consistent errors, for example in the use of scientific/technical terms, quantitative data, or visual representations		Uses language and tone appropriate to the purpose and audience with minor lapses Follows the norms and conventions of scientific writing with consistent minor errors, for example in the use of scientific or technical terms, quantitative data, or visual representations		Uses language and tone appropriate to the purpose and audience Follows the norms and conventions of scientific writing including accurate use of scientific/technical terms, quantitative data, and visual representations		Uses language and tone appropriate to the purpose and audience Consistently follows the norms and conventions of scientific writing, including accurate use of scientific/technical terms, quantitative data, and visual representations