

The purpose of the Report Card Rating Details report is to describe the rating methodology and display the data used by the school accountability system to determine the overall school rating that is shown on each school's Report Card. The Oregon Department of Education (ODE) piloted the school accountability system in 2011-2012 to identify Priority, Focus, and Model schools as part of the ESEA Waiver. For more details on the school report cards, please visit the following link: http://www.ode.state.or.us/go/schoolRC.

Performance Indicator	Level	% of Points Earned	Weight	Weighted Points
Academic Achievement	Level 4	70.0%	25	17.5
Academic Growth	Level 3	50.0%	50	25.0
Subgroup Growth (page 5)	Level 2	_evel 2 35.0%		8.8
Number of Missed Participation Targets*	0	NA		
* Schools do not receive points for participation. However, a school's overall Level is lowered by one level for each consecutive year that it did not meet all participation targets, starting in 2012-13.		Totals**		51.3
** Schools may not be eligible for all possible points. Schools are not rated in categories where they do not meet minimum student count requirements.		Weigh Perce		51.3%

Overall Level: Level 3

Level Assignment	Weighted Percent
Level 5	87.0 or above
Level 4	70.0 to 86.9
Level 3	47.0 to 69.9
Level 2	26.5 to 46.9
Level 1	Less than 26.5

Levels are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of the total points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are:

• 25 for Academic Achievement

• 50 for Academic Growth

• 25 for Subgroup Growth

The total score is matched to the scoring guide above to determine the school's rating.

Federal Reporting Designations			
Received Title I Funds in 2013-14 (Y/N)	Y		
ESEA Designation (if any)			



The school accountability system determines the overall school rating by using the percent of points a school earns for each of the indicators below. Subsequent pages display the data that support each indicator rating.

Academic Achievement (page 3)	Level	Points Earned	Points Eligible
Reading (All Students)	Level 4	4	5
Mathematics (All Students)	Level 3	3	5
Total	Level 4	7	10
Dercent of Deinte Forne	d - Total Daint	- Earnad / Tatal	Dointe Eligible

Percent of Points Earned = Total Points Earned / Total Points Eligible 70.0%

Academic Growth (page 4)	Level	Points Earned	Points Eligible
Reading (All Students)	Level 4	4	5
Mathematics (All Students)	Level 1	1	5
Total	Level 3	5	10

Category Level Cutoffs				
Level	% of Points Earned			
Level 5	90.0%			
Level 4	70.0%			
Level 3	50.0%			
Level 2	30.0%			
Level 1	<30.0%			

Percent of Points Earned = Total Points Earned / Total Points Eligible 50.0%

Subgroup Growth (page 5)	Level	Points Earned	Points Eligible
Reading			
Economically Disadvantaged	Level 4	4	5
English Learners	Not Rated	0	0
Students with Disabilities	Not Rated	0	0
Underserved Races/Ethnicities ¹	Level 1	1	5
Math			·
Economically Disadvantaged	Level 1	1	5
English Learners	Not Rated	0	0
Students with Disabilities	Not Rated	0	0
Underserved Races/Ethnicities ¹	Level 1	1	5
Total	Level 2	7	20
Dereent of Deinte Forne	d Total Dains	- Formed / Total	Deinte Eligible

Percent of Points Earned = Total Points Earned / Total Points Eligible 35.0%

1. Includes American Indian/Alaskan Native, Pacific Islander, Black, and Hispanic students.



Public Version - Final - October 9, 2014

District: Eugene SD 4J **School:** Howard Elementary School

The Academic Achievement indicator rating reflects the percent of all students that meet or exceed standards on the state reading and mathematics assessments at all tested grades in the school. Note that, despite their display below, the Academic Achievement indicator rating does not include subgroup data as described in Oregon's ESEA Waiver.

Achievement Level Cutoffs					
Level	Reading	Math			
Level 5	87.2 & above	82.3 & above			
Level 4	72.0 to 87.1	69.0 to 82.2			
Level 3	58.8 to 71.9	49.2 to 68.9			
Level 2	49.6 to 58.7	39.3 to 49.1			
Level 1	Less than 49.6	Less than 39.3			

Pooding	Level	201	12-13	201	3-14	Combined
Reading	Lever	Tests	% Met	Tests	% Met	% Met
All Students	Level 4	129	82.2	148	71.6	76.5
Economically Disadvantaged ¹	Level 4	96	78.1	109	67.0	72.2
English Learners ¹	Not Rated	6	50.0	12	33.3	38.9
Students with Disabilities ¹	Level 1	19	47.4	30	46.7	46.9
Underserved Races/Ethnicities ¹	Level 3	25	72.0	35	51.4	60.0
American Indian/Alaska Native ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Black/African American ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Hispanic/Latino ²	Level 3	20	70.0	29	51.7	59.2
Asian ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
White ¹	Level 4	91	87.9	101	77.2	82.3
Multi-Racial ¹	Not Rated	13	61.5	12	83.3	72.0

Math	Level	201	12-13	201	Combined	
INIAU I	Levei	Tests	% Met	Tests	% Met	% Met
All Students	Level 3	129	53.5	147	53.7	53.6
Economically Disadvantaged ¹	Level 2	96	45.8	108	49.1	47.5
English Learners ¹	Not Rated	6	16.7	12	25.0	22.2
Students with Disabilities ¹	Level 1	19	26.3	30	26.7	26.5
Underserved Races/Ethnicities ¹	Level 2	25	44.0	35	37.1	40.0
American Indian/Alaska Native ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Black/African American ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
Hispanic/Latino ²	Level 2	20	45.0	29	41.4	42.9
Asian ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*
White ¹	Level 3	91	59.3	100	61.0	60.2
Multi-Racial ¹	Not Rated	13	30.8	12	41.7	36.0

1. These data are not part of the achievement rating but are included to provide additional information on subgroup performance.

2. Included in the Underserved Races/Ethnicities subgroup.

Data notes:

Not Rated Subgroup did not meet minimum size requirement in order to receive a rating.

* Fewer than 6 students tested in the last two years combined

>95.0 Greater than 95 percent of students met or exceeded. Test counts are also suppressed.

<5.0 Less than 5 percent of students met or exceeded. Test counts are also suppressed.



The Academic Growth indicator uses the Colorado Growth Model to measure student growth in reading and mathematics as compared to academic peers (i.e., students throughout the state who have a similar reading or math test score history). Oregon adopted this growth model as part of the process of obtaining a waiver from some of the requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB). This growth model provides a more complete picture of student performance and will help provide a better evaluation of school effectiveness.

2013-2014 Academic Growth Details

Public Version - Final - October 9, 2014

Growth Level Cutoffs						
Level	On Track Growth					
Levei	Yes	No				
Level 5	60 & above	70 & above				
Level 4	45 to 59.5	55 to 69.5				
Level 3	35 to 44.5	45 to 54.5				
Level 2	30 to 34.5	40 to 44.5				
Level 1	Less than 30	Less than 40				

The growth model looks at a student's growth as compared to that of his/her academic peers with a similar test score history. The Growth Model subsequently expresses student growth as a percentile. For example, a growth percentile of 50 would indicate that a student had average growth compared to all other students in the state with similar prior test scores. A growth percentile of 80 would indicate that a student's growth was as high or higher than 80 percent of his/her academic peers.

The school accountability system uses the median growth percentile which represents "typical" growth at the school. As shown below, this median growth percentile is the basis for the Academic Growth ratings for reading and mathematics. The growth model also provides growth targets for students in grades 3 to 8. These growth targets represent the growth percentile a student would need to meet the standard within three years (for those students currently below standard) or to maintain standard for the next three years (for those students above standard). The school accountability system uses the median growth target to determine whether students at the school have On Track growth:

- If the Combined Median Growth Percentile = Combined Median Growth Target, then On Track Growth = 'Yes'
- If the Combined Median Growth Percentile < Combined Median Growth Target, then On Track Growth = 'No'

On Track growth is used to determine the growth levels (see the Growth Level Cutoffs table in the upper right corner of this page).

		2012-13		2013-14		Combined	Combined	
Academic Growth	Level	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Median Growth Percentile	Median Growth Target	On Track Growth?
Reading (All Students)	Level 4	78	48.0	89	48.0	48.0	36.0	Yes
Mathematics (All Students)	Level 1	79	37.0	89	37.0	37.0	45.5	No

Data notes:

Not Rated Subgroup did not meet minimum size requirement in order to receive a rating

* Fewer than 6 students with growth percentiles.

NA Not applicable



Public Version - Final - October 9, 2014

District: Eugene SD 4J **School:** Howard Elementary School

The Subgroup Growth indicator measures the growth of historically underserved student subgroups. It disaggregates the Academic Growth indicator and reflects the growth for economically disadvantaged, limited English proficient, students with disabilities, and historically underserved races/ethnicities. To receive a Subgroup Growth indicator rating, a subgroup must meet the minimum size requirement for the Academic Achievement indicator rating (i.e., 40 tests in the last two years combined) and have at least 30 students with growth percentiles.

Growth Level Cutoffs								
Level	On Track Growth							
Level	Yes	No						
Level 5	60 & above	70 & above						
Level 4	45 to 59.5	55 to 69.5						
Level 3	35 to 44.5	45 to 54.5						
Level 2	30 to 34.5	40 to 44.5						
Level 1	Less than 30	Less than 40						

		20	012-13	20	013-14	Combined	Combined	On Track Growth?
Reading	Level	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Median Growth Percentile	Median Growth Target	
Economically Disadvantaged	Level 4	57	41.0	63	48.0	48.0	42.0	Yes
English Learners	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	17.0	57.0	NA
Students with Disabilities	Not Rated	11	48.0	14	43.5	47.0	56.0	NA
Underserved Races/Ethnicities	Level 1	16	24.0	18	35.5	25.0	43.0	No
American Indian/Alaska Native ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Black/African American ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Hispanic/Latino ¹	Not Rated	15	22.0	14	21.5	22.0	43.0	NA
Asian ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
White ²	Level 4	54	51.0	65	48.0	48.0	33.0	Yes
Multi-Racial ²	Not Rated	8	40.0	6	71.0	58.0	45.5	NA

		20	012-13	20	013-14	Combined	Combined	On Track Growth?
Math	Level	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Students	Median Growth Percentile	Median Growth Percentile	Median Growth Target	
Economically Disadvantaged	Level 1	57	31.0	62	39.5	36.0	50.0	No
English Learners	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	20.0	47.0	NA
Students with Disabilities	Not Rated	12	34.5	15	25.0	31.0	72.0	NA
Underserved Races/Ethnicities	Level 1	16	34.5	18	29.5	34.5	48.5	No
American Indian/Alaska Native ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Black/African American ¹	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
Hispanic/Latino ¹	Not Rated	15	37.0	14	41.5	37.0	47.0	NA
Asian ²	Not Rated	*	*	*	*	*	*	NA
White ²	Level 1	55	41.0	65	37.0	38.5	43.0	No
Multi-Racial ²	Not Rated	8	26.5	6	45.5	33.5	59.5	NA

1. Included in the Underserved Races/Ethnicities subgroup.

2. These data are not part of the academic growth rating but are included to provide additional information on subgroup performance.

Data notes:

Not Rated Subgroup did not meet minimum size requirement in order to receive a rating.

* Fewer than 6 students tested in the last two years combined

NA Not applicable



All students enrolled on the first school day in May must take a statewide assessment. The tables below display the percentage of students who took a statewide assessment by school year, subject, and subgroup. The tables also indicate whether each subgroup met the federal participation rate target of 94.5%. The overall school rating as well as the Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, and Subgroup Growth indicator ratings depend upon student test scores. These ratings are valid only when schools uniformly test all students. As a result, schools with one or more subgroups missing the participation target will receive a reduction in their overall school rating by one Level.

Participation Target: 94.5%

Pooding	Status	Participants		Non-Participants		Participation Rate			Applied
Reading	Status	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	Combined	Rate ²
All Students	Met	145	153	0	1	100.0	99.4	99.7	Combined
Economically Disadvantaged	Met	109	111	0	1	100.0	99.1	99.5	Combined
English Learners	Not Rated	8	12	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Students with Disabilities	Met	24	31	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Current
Underserved Races/Ethnicities	Met	30	35	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Current
American Indian/Alaska Native ¹	Not Rated	2	2	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ¹	Not Rated	2	2	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Black/African American ¹	Not Rated	2	2	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Hispanic/Latino ¹	Met	24	29	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Current
Asian	Not Rated	0	0	0	0				NA
White	Met	100	105	0	1	100.0	99.1	99.5	Combined
Multi-Racial	Not Rated	15	13	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA

Math	Status	Participants		Non-Participants		Participation Rate			Applied
	Status	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	2012-13	2013-14	Combined	Rate ²
All Students	Met	145	152	0	2	100.0	98.7	99.3	Combined
Economically Disadvantaged	Met	109	110	0	2	100.0	98.2	99.1	Combined
English Learners	Not Rated	8	12	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Students with Disabilities	Met	24	31	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Current
Underserved Races/Ethnicities	Met	30	35	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Current
American Indian/Alaska Native ¹	Not Rated	2	2	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ¹	Not Rated	2	2	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Black/African American ¹	Not Rated	2	2	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA
Hispanic/Latino ¹	Met	24	29	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	Current
Asian	Not Rated	0	0	0	0				NA
White	Met	100	104	0	2	100.0	98.1	99.0	Combined
Multi-Racial	Not Rated	15	13	0	0	100.0	100.0	100.0	NA

1. Included in the Underserved Races/Ethnicities subgroup.

2. The Applied rate is the rate used to determine if the participation target Is met. It is the higher of the combined rate and the most recent rate.

Data notes:

Not Rated Subgroup did not meet minimum size requirement in order to receive a rating.

* Fewer than 6 students tested in the last two years combined



The tables below display the Smarter Balanced field test and OAKS participation rates by grade and subject, and are only applicable to schools that administered the field test in 2013-14. The OAKS participation rate must be at least 94.5% in each field test grade and subject to include OAKS scores in the Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, and Subgroup Growth indicators.

Field test schools may choose to appeal the inclusion or exclusion of OAKS scores. In the event of a successful appeal, the "Include OAKS" field below will reflect the result of the appeal (either the inclusion or exclusion of OAKS scores) regardless of the OAKS participation rate. The Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, and Subgroup Growth indicators will reflect the inclusion or exclusion of OAKS scores based on the successful appeal.

Participation Target: 94.5%

Reading	Field Test	Include OAKS ²	Total	Field	Test	OAKS		
	Grade ¹		Students ³	Participants	Rate	Participants	Rate	
Grade 3	No	Yes	55	0		55	100.0	
Grade 4	No	Yes	54	0		54	100.0	
Grade 5	No	Yes	45	0		44	97.8	
Grade 6	NA	NA	NA	0		0		
Grade 7	NA	NA	NA	0		0		
Grade 8	NA	NA	NA	0		0		
Grade 11	NA	NA	NA	0		0		

Math	Field Test	Include	Total	Field	Test	OAKS		
IVIALII	Grade ¹	OAKS ²	Students ³	Participants	Rate	Participants	Rate	
Grade 3	No	Yes	55	0		55	100.0	
Grade 4	No	Yes	54	0		53	98.1	
Grade 5	No	Yes	45	0		44	97.8	
Grade 6	NA	NA	NA	0		0		
Grade 7	NA	NA	NA	0		0		
Grade 8	NA	NA	NA	0		0		
Grade 11	NA	NA	NA	0		0		

1. Indicates whether the school administered the Smarter Balanced field test in the tested grade.

2. Indicates whether the Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, and Subgroup Growth indicators will include OAKS scores from the respective field test grade and subject.

3. This is the denominator for the field test and OAKS participation rates, and represents all students enrolled on the first school day in May.

Data notes:

Fewer than 6 students tested in the last two years combined.